



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,879	06/27/2003	Lieven Stuyver	BJS-2551-123	5237
23117	7590	11/10/2010		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			PENG, BO	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/10/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,879	STUYVER ET AL.	
	Examiner BO PENG	Art Unit 1648	
All Participants: (1) <u>BO PENG</u> . (2) <u>B.J. Sadoff</u> .	Status of Application: _____		
Date of Interview: <u>4 November 2010</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>112 1st and 103 rejections</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>all pending claims.</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/BO PENG/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

10/26/10

The examiner indicated that Claim 37 has been found allowable in view of superior results shown in the specification. The examiner suggested including the probes of Claim 37 in independent form and including all of the limitations of the base claim. The examiner also proposed an amendment to the claims and rejoinder claims.

11/4/10

However, the applicant and the examiner didn't reach final agreement to put the claims in condition of allowance. Attorney B. J. Sadoff will submit a supplemental amendment in few days. The examiner will prepare an office action accordingly. The examiner indicated that applicant should timely file the supplemental amendment.